

# Notes for MA591U, Spring 2001

## (Symbolic Computation)

### Differential Galois Theory

Let  $y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0y = f$  where  $a_i, f \in k$ , a differential field whose constants are algebraically closed. We want to extend the notion of a splitting field, the Galois group, and solvability to these types of equations.

**EXAMPLES:** Problems where  $k = \mathbb{C}(x)$  with  $' : k \rightarrow k$  such that  $(a + b)' = a' + b'$  and  $(ab)' = a'b + ab'$ .

We will consider the equation

$$0 = L(y) = y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0y, \quad a_i \in k.$$

We want

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ -a_0 & -a_1 & -a_2 & \cdots & -a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$y \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} y \\ y' \\ \vdots \\ y^{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix} = Y.$$

We have  $L(y) = 0$  if, and only if,  $Y' = AY$ , where  $A$  is the square matrix above.

**FACT:** Even the most general system  $Y' = AY$  are equivalent, in a certain sense, to  $L(y) = 0$ .

**LEMMA:** Let  $K$  be a differential field with constants  $C$ . Let  $A \in M_n(K) \doteq K^{n \times n}$ . Let  $V = \{y \in K^n | y' = Ay\}$ . Then  $\dim_C V \leq n$ .

**PROOF:**

Note that any  $(n + 1)$  vectors of  $K^n$  are linearly dependent over  $K$ . It suffices to show that if  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in V$  are linearly dependent over  $K$ , then they are linearly dependent over  $C$ . Let

$$\sum_{i=1}^t f_i y_i = 0.$$

Assume (1)  $f_1 = 1$  (just divide through if not) and (2)  $t$  is the smallest positive integer such that the above equation holds. Apply  $y \mapsto y' - Ay$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \sum_i (f'_i y_i + f_i y'_i) - \left( \sum_i f_i y_i \right) A &= \sum_i f'_i y_i + \sum_i f_i (y'_i - Ay_i) \\ &= \sum_i f'_i y_i. \end{aligned}$$

But  $f'_1 = 0$ , so by minimality of  $t$  it must be that  $f'_2 = \dots = f'_t = 0$ , which implies that  $f_i \in C$ . Hence  $\{y_i\}$  is dependent over  $C$ .

**NOTE:** This is comparable to saying that a polynomial has at most  $n$  roots.

The analog to a *splitting field* in Differential Galois Theory is a *Picard-Vessiot Extension*, which we define as follows:

**DEFINITION:** Suppose  $L(y) = 0$ , with coefficients in  $k$ , and there exists a differential field  $K$  such that

- (i) constants of  $K$  are the same as the constants of  $k$ ;
- (ii)  $K$  contains  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  linearly independent solutions of  $L(y_i) = 0$ ;
- (iii)  $K$  is the smallest differential field containing  $k$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ .

Then  $K$  is a *Picard-Vessiot Extension* (PVE) and

$$K = k(y_1, y'_1, \dots, y_1^{(n-1)}, \dots, y_n, y'_n, \dots, y_n^{(n-1)}).$$

Note that  $y_i^{(n)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i y_i^{(i)}$  (where  $y^{(0)} = y$ ).

**EXAMPLE:** Let  $k = \mathbb{C}(x)$  and  $L(y) = 0$ . Let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  where no denominator of  $L(y)$  vanishes. Then there exist  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and differentiable in a neighborhood of  $x_0$  such that  $L(y_i) = 0$ . (This is the *Analytic Existence Theorem*.)

In general, we can show that this extension exists, but we are not presently able to construct it.

We can now define the Galois Group of an LDE. Let  $K$  be a PVE for  $L(y) = 0$ . We write

$$\text{Gal} \left( \frac{K}{k} \right) \doteq \{ \sigma : K \rightarrow K \mid \sigma \text{ is an automorphism, } \sigma(z') = (\sigma(z))', \sigma|_k = \text{id} \}.$$

Let  $V = \{y \in K \mid L(y) = 0\}$ . Observe that  $V$  is a vector space over  $C$ . If  $y \in V$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Gal} \left( \frac{K}{k} \right)$ , then  $\sigma(0) = \sigma(L(y)) = L(\sigma(y))$ , so  $\sigma(y) \in V$  as well.

Furthermore,  $\sigma|_V$  is  $C$ -linear, so  $\sigma$  restricts to a linear transformation on  $V$ . Select a basis  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ . Then we can take  $\sigma \mapsto (c_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n}$ . Hence  $\text{Gal} \left( \frac{K}{k} \right) < \text{GL}_n(C)$  (the group of  $n \times n$  invertible matrices over  $C$ ).

**DEFINITION:** We say that  $X \subset C^N$  is *Zariski closed* if it is the common zeroes of some polynomial over  $C$  in  $N$  variables.

**EXAMPLES:**

1. Let  $N = 1$ . Then  $\{x | p_1(x) = \cdots = p_N(x) = 0\}$  is finite, or it is all of  $C$ .

Conversely, any finite set has  $X = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\} = \{x | (x - \alpha_1) \cdots (x - \alpha_N) = 0\}$ . So Zariski closed sets in  $C(x)$  are empty, finite, or all of  $C$ . Observe that  $\mathbb{N} \subset C$  is *not* Zariski closed.

2. Let  $N = 2$ . The solution sets in this case are finite unions of curves  $p(x, y) = 0$ , finite sets of points,  $C$ , and  $\emptyset$ .

**DEFINITION:** A *linear algebraic group* is a Zariski closed subgroup of  $GL_n(C) \subset C^{n^2}$ ; that is, it is a subgroup of  $GL_n(C)$  defined by the vanishing of a set of polynomials in the entries of the matrices. All previous examples are these kinds of groups.

An example of those that are not: let

$$GL_1(C) = \{c | c \neq 0\} = C \setminus \{0\} \doteq (C^*, \cdot).$$

Zariski closed proper subgroups are finite and cyclic, hence of the form  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So consider  $\{(\sqrt{2})^n | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . This is not Zariski closed, since it is neither finite, nor is it  $GL_1(C)$ .

**BASIC FACT:**  $Gal(K/k) < GL_n(C)$  is Zariski closed.

**EXAMPLE:** Let  $y' - ay = 0$  for some  $a \in k$ .

$$Gal \subseteq GL_1(C) = C^*.$$

Either  $Gal = GL_1(C)$  or  $Gal$  is finite.

The only finite subgroups of  $C^*$  are the  $n$ th roots of unity for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So

$$Gal = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} GL_1 \\ \{\zeta : \zeta^n = 1\} \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \end{array} \right\}.$$

**GENERAL FACT:** If  $z \in K$  such that  $\sigma(z) = z$  for all  $\sigma \in K$ , then  $z \in k$ .

To test whether  $Gal = \{\zeta : \zeta^n = 1\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , do the following.

Let  $y \in K$  be a solution of  $y' - ay = 0$ . Consider  $y^n$ ; if  $Gal = \{\zeta : \zeta^n = 1\}$  then

$$\sigma(y^n) = (\sigma(y))^n = (\zeta y)^n = \zeta^n y^n = y^n$$

so  $y^n \in k$ .

Conversely, if  $n$  is the smallest nonzero integer so that  $y^n = u \in k$ , then  $K = k(\sqrt[n]{u})$ . So  $K$  is an algebraic extension of  $k$ . Then  $\text{Gal} = \{\zeta \mid \zeta^n = 1\}$ , since  $y \mapsto \zeta y$  gives an automorphism.

Observe that  $y' - ay = 0$  only if  $y'/y = a$ . If  $y^m = u$ ,

$$\frac{u'}{u} = \frac{(y^m)'}{y^m} = \frac{my'}{y} = ma.$$

So to test for a Galois group, the group is finite if there is some  $m$  such that  $u' - mau = 0$  has a rational solution. It equals  $\{\zeta \mid \zeta^m = 1\}$  where  $m$  is the smallest such integer. It is, on the other hand,  $GL_1$  if there is no such integer.

To do this, one can modify the algorithm we explored earlier to find rational solutions to LDEs.

**EXAMPLE:**

$$y' - \frac{1}{3x}y = 0$$

has  $m = 3 \in \mathbb{Z}$  giving a rational solution to

$$y' - \frac{m}{3x}y = 0.$$

This is also the smallest such integer.

**EXAMPLE:** One can homogenize  $y' = f$  (which has solution  $y = \int f$ ) as  $y'' - (f'/f)y' = 0$  (which has solutions  $y \in \{\int f, 1\}$ ). Since the latter is homogeneous, the PVE is  $K = k(1, \int f)$ . Let  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}$ . We know that  $\sigma(1) = 1$  and  $[\sigma(\int f)]' = \sigma((\int f)') = \sigma(f) = f$ . So  $\sigma(\int f)$  and  $\int f$  differ by a constant. Hence  $\sigma(\int f) = \int f + c_\sigma$  for some  $c_\sigma \in C$ . In the basis  $\{1, \int f\}$  of the solution space,

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c_\sigma \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So

$$\text{Gal} < \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid c \in C \right\}.$$

Hence the only algebraic subgroups are  $\{I\}$  and  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid c \in C \right\}$  itself. We have  $\text{Gal} = \{I\}$  if, and only if,  $\int f \in k$ . We need to see if  $y'' - (f'/f)y' = 0$  has a two-dimensional solution space in  $k$ . If  $k = \mathbb{Q}(x)$ , we can do this.

**MAIN THEOREM OF GALOIS THEORY:**

Let  $K$  be a PVE of  $k$  associated with  $L(y) = 0$ . There is a bijective correspondence between algebraic subgroups  $H$  of  $\text{Gal}(K/k)$  and differential subfields  $\mathbb{F}$ , with  $K \supset \mathbb{F} \supset k$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{algebraic subgroups}\} &\leftrightarrow \{\text{subfields}\} \\ H &\leftrightarrow K^H = \{y \in K \mid \sigma(y) = y \forall \sigma \in H\} \\ \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{F}) = \{\sigma \mid \sigma(y) = y \forall y \in \mathbb{F}\} &\leftrightarrow \mathbb{F} \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,  $H \triangleleft \text{Gal}(K/k)$  if, and only if,  $K^H$  is a PVE.

**EXAMPLE:** Again let  $y' - ay = 0$  and assume  $\text{Gal} = \text{GL}_1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} K = K(y) &\leftrightarrow \{1\} \\ \cup &\quad \cap \\ k(y^m) &\leftrightarrow \{\zeta \mid \zeta^m = 1\} \\ \cup &\quad \cap \\ k &\leftrightarrow C^* \end{aligned}$$

where  $(y^m)' - may^m = 0$ .

We now turn to the issue of *solvability*.

**DEFINITION:** Let  $F \supset k$  be differential fields. We call  $L$  a *Liouvillian Extension of  $k$*  if there is a tower

$$F = F_m \supset \cdots \supset F_0 = k$$

such that  $F_i = F_{i-1}(t_i)$  where either  $t_i$  is algebraic over  $F_{i-1}$ ,  $t'_i \in F_{i-1}$  (which implies that  $t_i = \int u_i$  for some  $u_i \in F_{i-1}$ ), or  $t'_i/t_i \in F_{i-1}$  (which implies that  $t_i = e^{u_i}$  for some  $u_i \in F_{i-1}$ ).

A differential equation is said to be Liouvillian if its PVE lies in a Liouvillian extension of  $k$ .

**THEOREM:** Suppose  $K$  is the PVE of  $k$  corresponding to  $L(y) = 0$ . Let  $G = \text{Gal}(K/k)$ . It turns out that  $L(y) = 0$  is Liouvillian if, and only if, there is some normal subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  with  $[G : H] < \infty$ , and  $H$  solvable.

For  $k = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)$ , this is decidable! For  $n = 2$ , Kovacic determined this in 1978. For  $n \geq 2$ , Singer published a result in the American Journal of Mathematics, in 1980.

#### REFERENCES:

“Direct and Inverse Problems in Differential Galois Theory”; see Singer’s homepage (currently [www.math.ncsu.edu/~singer](http://www.math.ncsu.edu/~singer)).

“Symbolic Analysis of D.E.” in *Some Tapas of Computer Algebra* by Cohen, Cuypers, and Steck (editors), published by Springer-Verlag.